	1			
1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney  MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division			
2 3				
4	THOMAS M. O'CONNELL (NYSBN 1501950) DANIEL R. KALEBA (CABN 223789) Assistant United States Attorney			
6	150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5061 Fax: (408) 535-5066 E-Mail: daniel.kaleba@usdoj.gov			
7 8				
9	Attorneys for the United States of America			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) N	lo.	CR 11-00592 EJD
14 15	Plaintiff,	)́ Н	STIPULATION TO COME HEARING AND [PROPE EXCLUDING TIME FR 2012 TO AUGUST 27, 2	LATION TO CONTINUE STATUS ING AND [PROPOSID] ORDER
16	RAYMOND FALCON GALLEGOS,			
17	Defendant.	) )		
18		)		
19	The defendant, RAYMOND FALCON GALLEGOS, represented by JAMES MCNAIR			
20	THOMPSON, Esquire, and the government, represented by DANIEL R. KALEBA, Assistant			
21	United States Attorney, hereby stipulate and request to continue the August 6, 2012 status			
22	hearing for three weeks to August 27, 2012. The defendant is currently undergoing a Court			
23	ordered competency evaluation. Furthermore, the defense investigation is ongoing. The purpose			
24	of the continuance is to allow additional time for the completion of the competency evaluation,			
25	as well as for necessary defense investigation.			
26				
27				
28	//			
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order CR 11-00592 EJD			

## Case 5:11-cr-00592-EJD Document 21 Filed 08/03/12 Page 2 of 2

Time has been continued through August 6, 2012. Counsel requests that time be 1 2 excluded under the Speedy Trial Act between August 6, 2012 and the next court appearance 3 because additional time is necessary to complete the competency evaluation process, to review 4 the discovery, and to conduct necessary investigation. IT IS SO STIPULATED. 5 6 7 Dated: August 2, 2012 JAMES MCNAIR THOMPSON 8 Attorney for Defendant RAYMOND FALCON GALLEGOS 9 10 11 Dated: August 2, 2012 12 Assistant United States Attorney 13 Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that 14 failing to exclude the time between August 6, 2012 and [August 27, 2012] would unreasonably 15 deny the defendant continuity of counsel and would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary 16 17 for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 18 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court ordered competency evaluation is also ongoing. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(A). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time 19 between August 6, 2012 and [August 27, 2012] from computation under the Speedy Trial Act 20 21 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between August 6, 2012 and [August 27, 2012] shall be 22 excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(A) and 23 24 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv). 25 August 3, 2012 DATED: 26 27 United States District Judge

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order CR 11-00592 EJD

28